Definition. A subring of a commutative ring R is a subset S of R that is a ring in its own right, with the addition and multiplication on S being the same as the addition and multiplication on R and with the multiplicative identity element in S being the same as the multiplicative identity element in R.

Note. If S is a subring of a commutative ring R, then the additive identity in S is the same as the additive identity in R. This follows from the following proposition; see the note after the proof of the proposition.

Proposition (Subring Criterion). A subset S of a commutative ring R is a subring of R if and only if all of the following conditions are met:

- (i) $1_R \in S$, where 1_R denotes the multiplicative identity in R.
- (ii) For all $a, b \in S$, $a b \in S$.
- (iii) For all $a, b \in S$, $ab \in S$.

Proof. Let R be a commutative ring, and let S be a subset of R.

First we show that if S is a subring of R then the three conditions are met.

Suppose S is a subring of R. Then it has a multiplicative identity, 1_S , and by the definition of a subring, $1_S = 1_R$, where 1_R denotes the multiplicative identity in R. Thus $1_R \in S$, proving (i).

Now let $a, b \in S$. Since S is a commutative ring there is a binary operation $+: S \times S \to S$, and since S is a subring of R, this addition agrees with the addition defined on R. In particular, this means that S is closed under addition. Further, there is an additive inverse for b in S, since S is a ring, and since additive inverses are unique in R, it is the same as -b in R. Thus $a - b = a + (-b) \in S$, proving (ii).

Similarly, there is a binary operation $\times : S \times S \to S$ that agrees with the multiplication defined on R. Thus S is closed under multiplication, proving (iii).

Next we show that if S satisfies the three enumerated conditions, then it is a subring of R.

To show that S is a subring of R, we need to show that S is a commutative ring in its own right, with the addition and multiplication on S being defined as the addition and multiplication on R.

By (i), S is nonempty, since $1_R \in S$. We need to show that the addition and multiplication from R give rise to binary operations on S. Certainly addition and multiplication are functions on $S \times S$; we only need to show that the target for each is S, i.e. that S is closed under the addition and multiplication coming from R. Condition (iii) is closure under multiplication. We will prove closure under addition after proving the two existence axioms for addition.

Existence of additive identity: Since S is nonempty, we may take $a \in S$. By (ii), $a - a \in S$. Thus $0_R \in S$, since $a - a = 0_R$. We claim that 0_R is an additive identity for S. For any $s \in S$, $0_R + s = s$, since $s \in R$ and since 0_R is the additive identity in R. Thus 0_R is an additive identity for S. Since 0_R is an additive identity for S as well as R, we now denote it simply as 0.

Existence of additive inverses: Take any $a \in S$. Then, by (ii) $0 - a \in S$. But 0 - a = 0 + (-a), where -a is the additive inverse of a in R. Thus we have shown $-a \in S$. Clearly, a + (-a) = 0, so -a is an additive identity for a in S.

Closure under addition: Take any $a, b \in S$. Then $-b \in S$, and -(-b) = b, since if -b is an additive inverse for b, then b is an additive inverse for -b. Thus, $a + b = a - (-b) \in S$ by (ii).

Commutativity of addition: Take any $a, b \in S$. Then $a + b \in S$ (by closure under addition) and, since $a, b \in R$, we have a + b = b + a, by commutativity of addition in R.

Associativity of addition: Take any $a, b, c \in S$. Then, since $a, b, c \in R$, a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c, and closure ensures that these sums are in S.

Existence of multiplicative identity: Take any $a \in S$. Since $1_R \in S$, $1_R \cdot a \in S$ by (iii) and $1_R \cdot a = a$, since multiplication in S is the same as multiplication in R. Thus 1_R is a multiplicative identity in S as well as R. We now denoted it simply as 1.

Commutativity of multiplication: Take any $a, b \in S$. Then $ab \in S$, since S is closed under multiplication, and, since $a, b \in R$, ab = ba, by commutativity of multiplication in R.

Associativity of multiplication: Take any $a, b, c, \in S$. Since $a, b, c \in R$, a(bc) = (ab)c in R, and since S is closed under multiplication a(bc) = (ab)c in S.

Distributivity: Take any $a, b, c \in S$. Since $a, b, c \in R$, a(b+c) = ab + ac in R, and since S is closed under addition and multiplication, a(b+c) = ab + ac in S.

Note. We say that distributivity and commutativity and associativity of addition and multiplication are *inherited* from R, because they follow readily from the fact that $S \subseteq R$.

Note. As mentioned above, the additive identity 0_S in a subring S of a commutative ring R is the same as the additive identity 0_R in R. Indeed, since S is a subring of R, it is itself a ring and has an additive identity 0_S . By (i) and (ii) of the subring criterion, $1_R \in S$ and also $0_R = 1_R - 1_R \in S$. Moreover 0_R is an additive identity for S, since, for any $s \in S$, the fact that $S \subseteq R$ implies $s \in R$, and so $0_R + s = s$, by the definition of 0_R . By the uniqueness of the additive identity in a ring, $0_R = 0_S$.